## MAGISTRATES COURT of WESTERN AUSTRALIA (CIVIL JURISDICTION) LISTING CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM FORM 32

Registry: 3 Ste	phen Street Bunbury	Case number: BUN/GCLM/316/2015	
Claimant	Andrew Laughton		
Defendant	Sharyl Marsh and James Marsh		

In accordance with the order of the Registrar under Rule 43A (2) the following information is provided:

1. Statement of the issues of fact and law that the party contends will need to be determined at the trial:

That the defendants were negligent in allowing 700mm of sand / soil to be placed against the dividing fence, and negligent in allowing overburden to be placed on the boundary retaining wall. In Law under the Civil Liability's Act the defendant should not have caused damage to my property. http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/consol\_act/cla2002161/

Also this document appears to be relevant.

http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/UWALawRw/1968/6.pdf

Also in law the defendants had a responsibility to take into account the existing boundary retaining wall in the design and building of any new structure, including retaining walls.

## http://www.bunbury.wa.gov.au/pdf/Planning%20and

## %20Building/ChangesToGroundevlRetainingWalls.pdf

And on page 34 of defences documents received on 27 Nov 2015, form BA3, "Certificate of design compliance" dated 8th May 2012, for the defendants new retaining walls, point 6 copied here for convenience...

"The Building work associated with this building or incidental structure, is not reasonably likely to adversely affect other land, under s77 of the *Building Act 2011*; and" s77 of the Building act 2011.

Relevant is the Statue of Limitations in the "Limitations Act 2005" which applies a 6 year limit, and applies to the original boundary retaining wall but not to the new retaining walls on the defendants property. https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main\_mrtitle\_542\_homepage.html

Also relevant is a past ruling that stopped a previous land owner from claiming the cost of a dividing fence after they sold the property. The implication being that if I did manage to sell the house I would not be able to claim the cost of the retaining wall.

2. How each allegation of fact will be proved:

Expert written reports and possibly oral evidence in court..

3. The names, addresses, occupations, and qualifications of each witness the party will call to give oral evidence at the trial:

Stephen Woodhouse; First Floor 62 Wittenoom Street Bunbury, WA, 6230; CEO and Director of WML Consultants, a company with 30 staff providing Civil, Structural and Geotechnical Engineering Services. Backelor of Engineering in Civil Engineering.



Andrew Laughton, 11b Keble Heights, College Grove. Claimant.

4. Annexed are the statements of the intended evidence of each witness who is not an expert witness:

Annexure labled "11b Keble Heights Retaining wall problems"

Date: 22/02/2016

Claimant/defendant or lawyer: ...

Tick [✓] appropriate box

Lodged by	Claimant or claimar Defendant or defend Other			
Address for service	11b Keble Heights, Co 0409 931 559	llege Grove, Bunbury. 6230		nton.andrew@gmail.com
Contact details	Telephone:	Lawyer's ref:	Fax:	E mail:



## MAGISTRATES COURT of WESTERN AUSTRALIA (CIVIL JURISDICTION) STATEMENT OF INTENDED EVIDENCE OF A WITNESS FORM 32A

1053		FORM 32A			
Registry: BUNBURY			Case number: 60M & CLM   316   2015		
Claimant	ANDREW LAUGH	ITON			
Defendant	SHARYL TOA	MARSH + JAM	ES GLYNN	MARSH.	
	e by witnesses other than an expert			2	
Say as follows:	LAUGHTON W-A.				
1. EEE	ATTACHED APPE	NPIX "IlB KEB	LE HEYGHTS RI	TAME WALL PROBLEM	
				9	
				STRATES COUPE	
				WA TO THE	
				12 TATE	
				FERN AUSTR	
			01		
Date: 22/2	2/2016.	Signed:	JHM.		
Tick [✓] appropr	Claimant or claimant's la Defendant or defendant's	awyer s lawyer			
Address for service	Other HEA	Ç475			
Contact details	Telephone:	Lawyer's ref:	Fax:	E mail:	